

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 * * *

8 Jamila Ahmed Sennain,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 Andrew M. Saul, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-01869-BNW

13
14 **ORDER**

15 On December 17, 2021, this Court issued its standard scheduling order in Social Security
16 cases. ECF No. 23. This order gave Plaintiff 30 days to file a motion to remand or for reversal. *Id.*
17 at 2. To date, Plaintiff has not filed such a motion. However, Plaintiff has filed a notice indicating
18 that she intends to seek an order “to include how my disability affects me in my day to day life,
with exact reference to the administrative record.” ECF No. 28 at 1.


19 If Plaintiff wishes for this case to continue, she must file a motion seeking an extension of
20 the deadline to file a motion to remand. In this motion, she must demonstrate excusable neglect
21 for seeking an extension after her deadline to file the motion to remand or for reversal. *See* LR
22 26-3. She also must show good cause for the extension (i.e., a good reason why she needs an
23 extension of time). *Id.* Plaintiff must file a motion for an extension of time by March 9, 2022.
24 Failure to comply with this Order will result in her case being dismissed without prejudice.

25 If the Court grants Plaintiff an extension of time, Plaintiff then must file a motion to
26 remand or for reversal, explaining why her case should be remanded or why she is entitled to
27
28

1 benefits. She must cite to the administrative record and provide legal authority supporting her
2 arguments.¹

3 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff must file a motion for an extension of
4 time to file a motion to remand or for reversal by March 9, 2022. Failure to comply with this
5 Order will result in a dismissal of this case without prejudice.

6
7 DATED: February 16, 2022.

8 
9 BRENDA WEKSLER
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ The Court also notes that Plaintiff recently used unprofessional language in a court filing. *See, e.g.*, ECF No. 27 at 6. The Court admonishes Plaintiff not to use profanity in future filings.